

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/762,739	01/22/2004	Barry Willey	00325.00.0102	5621
23418	7590 11/30/2004		EXAMINER	
VEDDER PRICE KAUFMAN & KAMMHOLZ			PATEL, KIRAN B	
CHICAGO,	LLE STREET IL 60601	·	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•			3612	
			DATE MAILED: 11/30/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summany	10/762,739	WILLEY, BARRY	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Kiran B. Patel	3612	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.  after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine  - earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 h	<u>//ay 2004</u> .		
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ This	s action is non-final.		-
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	ance except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application	1.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.	•	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	cepted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ction is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	·		
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		)-(d) or (f).	
1. Certified copies of the priority documen		ion No	-
<ul><li>2.  Certified copies of the priority documen</li><li>3.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority</li></ul>			
application from the International Burea	-	ou in this Hational Stage	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list		ed.	
	·		
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary		
2)	Paper No(s)/Mail Day Notice of Informal P	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)	
2) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>5/24/04</u> .	6) Other:	atom / ipphoduon (i 10-102)	

Application/Control Number: 10/762,739 Page 2

Art Unit: 3612

## Detailed Action

- Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under
   U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 1-11, 17-19, drawn to a windshield assembly, classified in Class296, Subclass 84.1.
  - II. Claims 12-16, drawn to a removable accessory, classified in Class 296, Subclass 78.1.
- 2. The inventions are distinct each from the other because of the following reasons: Inventions II and I are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination I as claimed does not require the particulars of an accessory of subcombination II. The subcombination has a utility in other combinations such as a handle bar.

Application/Control Number: 10/762,739 Page 3

Art Unit: 3612

3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

4. This application, as best understood, contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Species A - directed towards Fig. 1-6

Species B - directed towards Fig. 7.

- 5. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, there appears to be no claim, which is generic to all species.
- 6. Applicant is advised that a response to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An

Application/Control Number: 10/762,739

Art Unit: 3612

argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Page 4

- 7. Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP [] 809.02(a).
- 8. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the Examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/762,739

Art Unit: 3612

9. A telephone call was made for the Attorney/Agent responsible for this application to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Page 5

- 10. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examiners even though the requirement is traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 11. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventor ship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventor ship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(I).
- 12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications should be directed to Primary Examiner Kiran B. Patel whose telephone number is 703-

Application/Control Number: 10/762,739

Art Unit: 3612

305-0254. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 8:00 to 5:00. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Kiran B. Patel, P. E. Primary Examiner Art Unit 3612 November 17, 2004 Page 6